

REMARKS

The application has been reviewed in light of the Non-Final Office Action mailed June 15, 2005. At the time of the Non-Final Office Action, claims 1-24 were pending in this application, and only claims 1, 15 and 16 were rejected. Claims 2-14 were objected to but indicated to be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 1 has been rewritten to include all of the limitations of dependent claim 2 and therefore is believed to be in condition for allowance. The remaining objected claims 3-14 and rejected dependent claim 15 now depend from amended independent claim 1 and therefore are also believed to be in condition for allowance. Claim 16 has been canceled and therefore the Examiner's rejections of that claim are deemed moot.

The remaining claims 17-24 were allowed with claim 17 having been objected to on account of two informalities, both of which have been corrected. The Examiner should note that the change to claim 17, and corresponding change to the specification in paragraph [0026] regarding the placement of the housing connection relative to the outer sleeve, is supported by the original disclosure in original Figure 4 now Figure 10 and therefore not new matter.

The Examiner objected to the drawings "because there are missing portions between figures 1A and 1B, 1B and 1C, 2A and 2B, 2B and 2C, 3A and 3B, and 3B and 3C, respectively (e.g., the lowermost end of figure 1A has 3 concentric tubular members whereas the uppermost end of figure 1B has only 2 concentric tubular members)." Office Action at 4. The Applicant has amended the drawings and specification to overcome the Examiner's objection. In particular, the Applicant has relabeled (i) figures 1A-1C as figures 1-3, respectively, (ii) figures 2A-2C as figures 4-6, respectively, and (iii) figures 3A-3C as figures 7-9, respectively, to more appropriately indicate that each of the sections of the setting tools shown in the original figures

are non-continuous portions of the upper, middle and lower sections of the setting tool. The "Brief Description of the Drawings" section of the specification has been similarly amended to more accurately describe what is shown in the drawings. No new matter is believed presented by the foregoing amendments.

SUMMARY

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the application is now in condition for allowance and early notice of the same is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner have any questions, comments or suggestions in furtherance of the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to contact the attorney of record by telephone, facsimile or electronic mail, as indicated below.

Applicant believes that there are no fees due in association with the filing of this Response. However, should the Commissioner deem that any fees are due, including any fees for any extensions of time, Applicant respectfully requests that the Commissioner accept this as a Petition therefore, and directs that any fees be debited from Baker Botts L.L.P., Deposit Account No. 02-0383, (*formerly Baker & Botts, L.L.P.*) Order Number 063718.0296.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. (023640)

Date: July 15, 2005

By: 

Paul R. Morico

Registration No. 35,960

One Shell Plaza

910 Louisiana Street

Houston, Texas 77002-4995

Telephone: 713.229.1732

Facsimile: 713.229.7732

email: paul.morico@bakerbotts.com

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT

As the three-month shortened statutory period for reply is due September 15, 2005, this Response is therefore considered timely filed.

AMENDMENTS

In the Drawings

Please replace Figures 1A-C, 2A-C, 3A-C and 4-6 with replacement Figures 1-12, which are attached as Exhibit 1 hereto.